

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/475,563	12/30/1999	DAVID P. WILLIAMS	RA-5281	6509
27516 75	90 11/16/2004		EXAMINER	
UNISYS CORPORATION			WOOD, WILLIAM H	
MS 4773 PO BOX 64942	!		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST. PAUL, MN 55164-0942			2124	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if		Application N .	Applicant(s)					
### PARTITION OF THE MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the corresp nd nee address — THE REPLY FILED 13 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal feel; or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal feel; or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal feel; or (3) a timely filed Notice Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. **PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b) The period for reply expires — months from the mailing date of the final rejection. Which were in later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY OFFICK THIS BOX UNIFEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS PELLOW THIN TOX MONTH'S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See IMPER 705.07(i). Extractions of time may be obtained user 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final office action, or (2) as set forth in other backers of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final office action, or (2) as set forth in 30 calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final office action, or (2) as set forth in 30 calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final office action, or (2) as set forth in 30 calculated from: (1) the expiration of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any examely active the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the filed office action. A compared to	Advisory Action	09/475,563	WILLIAMS, DAVID I	P				
THE REPLY FILED 13 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance, (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fiee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)		Examiner	Art Unit					
THE REPLY FILED 13 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CPR 1.13 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance. (2) a timely filed flottle of Appeal (with appeal feet) or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination. (ReCP) in compliance with 37 CPR 1.14. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)		William H. Wood	2124					
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) at timely filled amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal (e); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERICO FOR REPLY (check either a) or b) The period for reply expires	The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on th cover sheet with the corresp nd nce address							
The period for reply expires	Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued							
to New Lowers, with the statutory peoint for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection. Only CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIS TWO MONTHS for the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRAL REJECTION. See MPEP 705.07(f). The Action of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final origination fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a). A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. ★ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ★ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ★ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ★ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3 ★ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Note: No	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2 □ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) □ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) □ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) □ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) □ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3.□ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4.□ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.□ The alfidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6.□ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7.□ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 19-22. Claim(s) allowed: 19-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.□ The drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. 9.□ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) KAKALI CHAKI SUPERVISORY PATER 2100.	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 19-22. Claim(s) allowed: 19-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) KAKALI CHANI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNIOLOGY CEATER 2100.								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet: 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 19-22. Claim(s) rejected: 1-18 and 23-36. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) KAKALI CHAKI SUPPRINSORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNIQLOGY CENTTER 2100.	2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(c)	(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 19-22. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) KAKALI CHAKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLORY CENTTER 2100								
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3.								
3.	(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 19-22. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18 and 23-36. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) KAKALI CHAKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOL 06Y CPATER 2100	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 19-22. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18 and 23-36. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) KAKALI CHAKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100.	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 19-22. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18 and 23-36. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) KAKALI CHANI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100.		be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 19-22. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18 and 23-36. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) KAKALI CHAKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100.								
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 19-22. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18 and 23-36. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.	-							
Claim(s) allowed: 19-22. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18 and 23-36. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: KAKALI CHAKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100.	7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18 and 23-36. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-18 and 23-36. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: KAKALI CHAKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100	Claim(s) allowed: 19-22.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: KAKALI CHAKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100	Claim(s) objected to:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: KAKALI CHAKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18 and 23-36</u> .							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: KAKALI CHAKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
10. Other: KAKALI CHAKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100	8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
KAKALI CHAKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100	10. ☐ Other:							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		SUPERVISORY	PATENT EXAMINER					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Amendments to claims such as "storage modes ... identifies one or more conditions occurring in the comptuting environment under which the designated set of information will be stored" and "events occurring within designated portions of the computing environment" would require further consideration and possibly search..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are primarily addressed to the newly added limitations found in the proposed amendment, and thus would require further consideration and are beyond the scope of this advisory. One point, Ryan does indicate modes providing differing sets of information as previously indicated..